SEVERN RIVER LAND TRUST, INC. 2128 Renard Court Annapolis, Maryland 21401-6755

FAX COVER SHEET

PAGES (INCL COVER): 16 TO: JOE PITTS / JEH BIBB OF: CLARKSVILLE, TX FAX #: (931) 645-1574 / (931) 552-0785 SUBJECT: GATEMAY CHARGE ECONOMIC STUDY RECONT (PARTIE) FROM: John Sherwood, Executive Director Tel # (410) 841-0090 Fax # (410) 841-0090 then *51
TO:
TO:
OF:
FAX #: (931) 645-1574 (931) 552-0785 SUBJECT: 6475447 Cleaned Economic STUDY REPORT (PARIMETER) FROM: John Sherwood, Executive Director Tel # (410) 841-0090 Fax # (410) 841-0090 then *51
SUBJECT: GATEWAY CHANGL ECONOMIC STUDY REPORT (PARSON FROM: John Sherwood, Executive Director Tel # (410) 841-0090 Fax # (410) 841-0090 then *51
FROM: John Sherwood, Executive Director Tel # (410) 841-0090 Fax # (410) 841-0090 then *51
Tel # (410) 841-0090 Fax # (410) 841-0090 then *51
OMMENTS:
poe- jeff has his. I made copies for George + myself.
700 700 T
copies for George + moself

PARTIAL DRAFT OF JAN 7, 1999

January 7, 1999

Mr. Joe Pitts
Executive Director,
Clarksville Area Chamber of Commerce
312 Madison Street
Clarksville, Tennessee 37040

Dear Mr. Pitts:

I am pleased to transmit herewith The Sherwood Consultancy's (TSC) report presenting findings and conclusions resulting from TSC's detailed economic analysis of the proposed Gateway Center conference and civic center ("Gateway Center") that is being considered for development in Clarksville. The focus of this work has been on business and economic aspects of the proposed facility. The report presents a summary of the facility's business operations and the economic ramifications of these operations, including the impact it would have on the economy of Downtown Clarksville and on Clarksville/Montgomery County as a whole.

The findings and analysis set forth in the report are based on interviews I conducted in Clarksville and research done at that time locally as well as research done elsewhere, primarily in connection with financing. The material contained in the report is consistent with the work program identified in the proposal/contract governing TSC's assignment. This study has built on and is a natural extension of two previous studies undertaken by me while at Legg Mason Realty Group. The first study, completed in the fall of 1994, established the need for and market support for a conference/exhibition/civic center facility to serve visitors to and residents of Clarksville and Montgomery County. The second study was completed in February, 1996. It was conducted in association with the Odell Associates planning/architectural team and updated the work of the first study with regard to utilization and operation but added material on impact and financing. This third study goes into greater detail with regard to the latter two matters and updates the operations analysis to reflect changes that have occurred in the economy of Clarksville and Montgomery County over the past five years.

generically, as the "C	Clarksville-Montgon	ubject of this work, was previously referred to, nery County Conference Center." For the purpose of complex of spaces in a single building intended to
cerce as a location for	or meetings confere	nces, trade shows, public shows, exhibitions,
hanquete reunions	and a wide range of	other events to meet the needs of area citizens and
visitors As describe	d in the Odell Assoc	riates report of 1996, the center would be able to
mearide about	square feet of	contiguous flat floor space divisible into several
smaller units and	to meeting ro	oms, depending on configuration. It is assumed that
there will be adequa	te moderate cost pa	rking associated with the center but a separate
analysis of parking i	e not included herei	n.
anarysis or harming i	2 110t IMPYEGOR HOLD	

PARTIAL DRAFT OF JAN 7, 1999

The report is divided into four sections followed by a Technical Appendix. Section I is an executive summary providing a succinct overview of the major findings set forth in the body of the report. Section II presents the operation of Gateway Center as a business enterprise. A comparison of this material with that set forth in the feasibility update study of 1996 reveals that the growth of and improved prospects for the economy of Clarksville and Montgomery County have resulted in projections of greater utilization, higher attendance, and increased operating income. Section III dimensions the impact that the center's business and the people who will attend events at it will have on downtown Clarksville and the regional economy. Section IV provides an overview of approaches to managing and financing the facility. The Technical Appendix contains tables and other materials referred to in the text and documenting and supporting the analysis.

During the past decade a number of metropolitan areas, including many the size of Clarksville/Montgomery County, have developed an "audience support/public assembly" (AS/PA) facility capable of accommodating some mix of sports, entertainment, meeting and civic/community events. Several such facilities have been built in Tennessee, such as in Gatlinburg, Kingsport, and Nashville. Other communities, such as nearby Hopkinsville, are in the process of planning for the development of such facilities. These buildings are major investments. The decision to build or not build usually comes after a long period of study and local debate regarding whether or not to do it. Some communities decide not to proceed. However, in those instances where they have, in every case of which I am aware, the area's citizens greatly enjoy the experience of having the facility in their community as a place for civic and community activities and entertainment and as a location for events that attract visitors. The economies of those communities have benefited from the economic impact of the facilities.

In the course of my nearly five years of work in Clarksville, I have come to respect the hard work that the business, political and civic leaders of the city and county have invested in advancing the economy of the region and the social well being of its citizens. I respect the fact that careful consideration is being given to the possible development of an audience support/public assembly complex in Clarksville. I hope that the material in this report will help local decision-makers understand certain economic factors associated with the presence and operation of such a facility in Clarksville.

Most sincerely,

John Sherwood Senior Consultant

PARTIAL DRAFT OF JAN 7, 1999

I. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this material is to highlight key findings resulting from the research and analysis conducted by The Sherwood Consultancy and presented in this report. The material is organized in the same order as contained in the report.

A. BUSINESS OPERATIONS

Gateway Center will be a major business enterprise. Regardless of whether the facility is operated by a public agency or by a private firm under contract to the public owner, the bulk of the business occurring within it will be private in nature. It will provide a venue for large and small firms, institutions, and nonprofit and civic groups to promote their operations and earn income. Some of the principal features of the business occurring at Gateway Center are set forth below.

- 257,500 people will attend 169 events at the Center encompassing 261 performance/days of activity.
- Commercial ticketed events will generate \$1,473,000 in ticket sales.
- Gateway Center's concessionaires will sell \$1,906,800 in food items (from hot dogs to banquets) and \$520,000 worth of souvenirs and novelties in the building.
- The operators of the facility will spend \$800,000 in a typical year for everything from salaries (\$545,000) and insurance to toilet paper.
- Promoters of events, exhibitors at trade and public shows, and performers at various
 other events will spend uncalculated additional funds on decorations, communications,
 utilities, part time labor, and miscellaneous other items.
- Gateway Center will employ 15 people on a permanent, full time basis.
- The facility will have income of \$671,000 of which \$294,200 will be from space rentals paid by events and \$306,800 will be the facility's share of concessions sales.

B. ECONOMIC AND FISCAL IMPACTS

- Construction Impacts. Gateway Center will cost about \$15 million to construct, not including parking and improvements to nearby public infrastructure. It will have a payroll of roughly \$6,800,000 and employ the equivalent of 75 people full time on site over the two year construction period. Additional jobs will be created at firms providing professional and other services to the general contractor. Some of the goods and material required in construction will be purchased from Clarksville-Montgomery County area vendors.
- Direct Spending Impacts. (All non-admissions spending occurring within Gateway
 Center, including purchases by residents of Clarksville/Montgomery County, is assumed
 to be direct spending. However, only spending by visitors is counted as direct spending
 outside of the facility.)

7270-OFTIME Almost 30c4

> 15 M CONST: PEYFOLL U,800,10. 75 People 2411:

95

GATEWAY CENTER ECONOMIC REPORT

PARTIAL DRAFT OF JAN 7, 1999

- There will be \$2,436,800 in direct spending in the building, exclusive of admissions.
- The facility will draw 72,100 visitors to Clarksville/Montgomery County, including 18,540 who will stay overnight and 53,560 day visitors.
- Visitors will spend \$3,281,600 annually in the Clarksville-Montgomery County area outside of Gateway Center: \$2,317,500 by overnight visitors and \$964,100 by day visitors.

Total Spending Impacts.

- Direct spending by attendees within Gateway Center and by visitors outside of it will total \$5,708,400 a year.
- Indirect spending impacts occur when the direct spending circulates in the community. TSC assumes a very conservative multiplier of 2.0, resulting in total direct and indirect spending from business at Gateway Center and in the community by visitors of \$11,416,800.

Induced Development Impacts.

- As a leading public investment in downtown Clarksville, Gateway Center will create business of interest to private firms, attract attention to Clarksville and its downtown area, and generate nearby development.
- Recent, current and known projected private sector development that is occurring or will occur in the downtown since the site for and characteristics of Gateway Center were established and publicized in February 1996 will total \$36,950,000 upon completion. TSC projects that additional smaller projects, primarily in the form of restaurants and shops, will bring that total to at least \$40,000,000 within five years.

Fiscal Impacts.

- Taxable sales of retail goods and services, other than hotel rooms, inside and outside Gateway Center will generate \$46,800 in sales tax revenues to the city, \$65,700 to the county and \$270,200 to the state each year.
- The hotel rooms used by overnight new visitors will result in \$36,000 in additional hotel/motel tax revenues of which the Tourism Commission would get ½ and the city and county ¼ each.
- The private real estate development induced by the presence of Gateway Center would result in \$190,400 in additional annual real estate tax revenue to the city on the improvements alone and \$528,000 to the county.
- Local tax revenues will increase a total of \$246,200 annually for the city, \$602,700 for the county and \$18,000 for the Tourism Commission as a result of net new business generated by Gateway Center.

C. MANAGEMENT AND FINANCING

.... to be completed

11,416.800 1172 MIlla Dillan IMPACT

> Spinoff projecti

46,800 seb/ FAY 65,700 COUNT 270,2000 SIEN

PARTIAL DRAFT OF JAN 7, 1999

II. BUSINESS OPERATIONS

The proposed Gateway Center will be a substantial enterprise in its own right. While likely to be owned and operated by a public entity, it will perform in much the same way as a private business. The purpose of the analysis in this section is to dimension these operating characteristics, which serve to underpin subsequent analyses of impact and financing.

A. UTILIZATION

A key component of operations is utilization. Consequently, before analyzing operations it is necessary to establish the level of utilization that Gateway Center will experience. Previous studies have shown that there is a clear need in the Greater Clarksville community for such facility. This has been indicated by the amount of use (utilization) that the facility will experience. Research conducted by TSC in connection with this economic study revealed that the prospects for utilization (events and average attendance) have increased since the study done by Legg Mason Realty Group in 1996. This is due primarily to the following factors:

- Strong recent growth in the Clarksville area economy and the likelihood of this growth continuing for the foreseeable future;
- Changes in APSU's concept for the University Center and thus its heightened need for conference, meeting and banquet space off-campus for social, athletic and fundraising events;
- Plans to develop a world class museum in association with Fort Campbell, which will generate increased reunion, social and conference activities;
- The needs of downtown civic organizations for meeting space.

A summary of the number of events and performances and the projected attendance for the facility as currently estimated and as estimated in 1996 is set forth below. The current data are shown in detail in Table 1 in this report's Technical Appendix.

GATEWAY CENTER SUMMARY OF UTILIZATION

	Current Study			_Ch:	ange from 199	6 Study
Activity Expositional Performing Arts Community Total Activity	80 7 82 169	Performance/Days 162 7 92 261	Attendance 191,400 3,500 62,600 257,500	+15 + 2 +15 +42	Performance/Days +30 + 2 +17 +48	Attendance +28,400 + 1,000 + 5,300 +34,700

Source: 1998 data from The Sherwood Consultancy; 1996 data from Legg Mason Realty Group's 1996 report

PARTIAL DRAFT OF JAN 7, 1999

The change from the 1996 study is significant. Events and performance/days are projected to increase because of the events that will result from the stronger APSU connection and the increased visitation to the Clarksville area from the Don F. Pratt Museum associated with Fort Campbell. It is also projected that the improved local economy will result in greater demand for and attendance at public shows, concerts and other community oriented events. Local businesses will have an increased need for meeting and function space. While many of these events will be in the categories of reunions, meetings, conferences, and classes with generally small attendance, they are of significant economic and civic value.

Utilization by the type of space in Gateway Center and by whether the sponsor is a commercial or non-commercial entity is set forth in Table 2 in the Technical Appendix. It can be seen that of the 261 performance/days of activity at the center, 175 will be commercial in nature. These events will be put on by businesses or promoters. The 86 non-commercial performance days of use will be sponsored primarily by local educational and nonprofit groups. (A nonprofit group that sponsors an event where tickets are sold to the public is categorized as a commercial sponsor.)

The utilization profile assumes that there will be a tourist information center operated by the Tourism Council in the conference center complex. However, none of the events tabulated above are directly associated with the presence of the tourism office. Rather, it will serve to generate daily visitation to the building by visitors to the area and will help draw visitors to downtown Clarksville. Three other facilities have been mentioned as possible tenants in Gateway Center. TSC assumes that the Business and Community Solutions Center and the Data Center will not be housed in the center and that the offices of the Economic Development Council/Chamber of Commerce may be.



B. BUSINESS PERFORMANCE

Gateway Center will generate income from doing business with event sponsors, promoters, concessionaires, and advertisers. It will create jobs and spend money in the community for goods and services. A detailed analysis of the center's business performance is set forth below.

1. Income

Data associated with the generation of income at the center are set forth in the Technical Appendix in Table 3, Table 4, and Table 5. Table 3 shows the schedule of rents that will be charged various users of the facility. As is customary with community oriented facilities such as Gateway Center, these rents are relatively low. The intention is to assure access to the center by a wide range of commercial and community sponsors. Application of these rents to the space utilization profile set forth in Table 2, results in projected income from rent in Table 4. As show, the center will generate rental income of \$294,200 in constant 1998 dollars in a typical operating year. * * * * * * Temporary end of report 1-7-99

GATEWAY CENTER

UTILIZATION PROFILE

ACTIVITY	Performance/Days			Facilities (1) bead	Attendance	
	Events	Per Event	Total		Per Performerice/Day	Total
Sports	None					
Expositional						
Public Shows:(Sm.)	6	2	12	Banquet Hall	1,000	12,000
Public Shows:(Med.)	7	3	21	Exhibit Hall	2,500	52,500
Public Shows:(Lg.)	8	3	24	Conf/Exh Ctr	4,000	96,000
Trade Shows:(Med.)	4	2	8	Banquet Hall	500	4,000
Trade Shows:(Lg.)	3	3	9	Exhibit Hall	1,000	9,000
Business Mtgs./Conf. (Sm.) 1 Day Multi Day	12 10	1 2	12 20	Mtg. Rooms Mtgs. Center	100 100	1,200 2,000
Business Mtgs./Conf. (Lg.) 1 Day Multi Day	10 6	1 3	10 18	Conf. Ctr.	300 3 0 0	3,000 5,400
Reunions (Sm.)	7	2	14	Mtgs. Center	+150	2,100
Reunions (Lg.)	7	2	14	Conf. Ctr.	+300	4,200
Expositional Total	80		162			191,400
Performing Arts Total	. 7	1	7	Presentation Room	500	3,500
Community						
Expos & Festivals	5	3	15	Conf/Exh Ctr	3,000	45,000
Banquets (Med.)	9	1	9	Ballroom	400	3,600
Banquets (Lg.)	8	1	8	Banquet Hall	700	5,600
Dances/Parties (Sm.)	8	1	8	Ballroom	200	1,600
Dances/Parties (Lg.)	12	1	12	Banquet Hall	400	4,800
Meetings/Classes	40	1	40	Mtg. Rooms	50	2,000
Community Total	82		92			62,600
Total Activity	169		261			257,500

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy based on information from interviews, survey, report of organizations and observations. Update of version presented in Legg Mason Realty Group in Technical Memorandum dated February 26, 1996.

GATEWAY CENTER

UTILIZATION OF SPACES

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

and the second discussion of the second seco				
SPACE	GATEWAY CENTER			
	Comm'i	Non-Comm'l	Total	
Meeting Rooms	22	30	52	
Presentation Room	7		7	
Entire Meetings Center (1&2)	20	14	34	
Ballroom	7	10	17	
Banquet Hall (2&4)	20	20	40	
Entire Conference Center (3&5)	30	12	42	
Exhibit Hall	30		30	
Entire Conference Exhibition Center (6&7)	39		39	
TOTAL	175	86	261	

Source: Total Utilization from table 1; commercial/non-commercial split by The Sherwood Consultancy.

GATEWAY CENTER

RENT SCHEDULE

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

COMPONENT	RECOMMENDED RENT (1998 dollars)			
	Commercial	Non-Commercial		
Meeting Rooms (ea) ¹	\$100	\$100		
Ballroom ¹	\$500	\$400		
Presentation Room ¹	\$400	\$300		
Entire Meetings Center ²	\$700	\$550		
Banquet Hall ¹	\$900/12%³	\$700		
Entire Conference Center ²	\$1,500/12% ³	\$1,200		
Exhibit Hall²	\$1,200/12% ³	\$1,000		
Entire Gateway Center ²	\$2,500/12%³	\$2,000		

¹For 4 hours or less of use

SOURCE: The Sherwood Consultancy based on industry standards and local conditions. Update version presented in study report dated February 1996

²For entire day of use

³Flat fee or % of gross ticket sales, whichever is greater

GATEWAY CENTER

PROJECTED INCOME FROM RENT

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

(In constant 1998 dollars)

COMPONENT	CENTER		
	Pref Days	Income	
Meeting Rooms	52	\$5,200	
Presentation Room	7	\$2,800	
Meetings Center	34	\$21,700	
Ballroom	17	\$7,500	
Banquet Hall	40	\$32,000	
Conference Center	42	\$65,000	
Exhibit Hall	30	\$45,000	
Conference/Exhibit Center	39	\$115,000	
TOTAL	261	\$294,200	

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy based on Tables 2 and 3

EXPENDITURES AT GATEWAY CENTER

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

(In constant 1998 dollars)

	<u>ATTENDEES</u>	TOTAL EXPEND. \$
Admission Fees		
@ \$5.00	57,000	\$ 285,000
@ \$7.00	52,500	367,500
@ \$8.00	96,000	768,000
@ \$10.00	<u>3,500</u>	52,500
TOTAL	209,000	\$1,473,000
Food & Beverage Concessions		
@ \$2.00	57,000	\$ 114,000
@ \$5.00	52,500	262,500
@ \$7.00	99,500	696,500
TOTAL	209,000	\$1,073,000
Souvenir/Novelty Concessions		
@ \$5.00	40,000	\$ 200,000
@ \$8.00	40,000	320,000
TOTAL	80,000	\$ 520,000
Banquet Meals	2	
@ \$15.00	5,600	\$ 84,000
@ \$25.00	13,400	\$ 335,000
@ \$30.00	10,400	<u>\$ 312,000</u>
TOTAL	29,400	\$ 731,000
Coffee & Beverage Service		
@ \$5.00	2,000	\$ 10,000
@ \$8,00	11.600	92,800
TOTAL	13,600	\$ 102,800

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy

GATEWAY CENTER

PROJECTED TOTAL INCOME

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION (In constant 1998 dollars)

SOURCE	INCOME (1998 dollars)
	GATEWAY CENTER
Event Rental Income	\$294,200
Setup/Tear Down Income	40,000
Food & Beverage Concessions ¹	228,800
Souvenir/Novelty Concessions	78,000
Display Advertising	20,000
Miscellaneous Other ²	10,000
TOTAL	\$671,000

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy based on tables 4 and 5

0,04,99

 ¹ Includes banquet catering
 ² Does not include recapture of variable expenses.

GATEWAY CENTER

ESTIMATED INCOME EXPENSES

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

(In constant 1998 dollars)

ITEM (1)	
Salary & Wages	545,000
Utilities	95,000
Communications	25,000
Insurance	45,000
Misc. Other Services & Charge	50,000
Supplies & Materials (2)	40,000
TOTAL	800,000

- Does not include variable, contract expenses recovered through (1) special charges to users.
- Not Capital items. (2)

140 341 1.

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy.

DAILY OFF-SITE SPENDING BY ATTENDEES OF EVENTS AT GATEWAY CENTER

TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

(In constant 1998 dollars)

	OVERNIGHT ATTENDEES	DAY TRIPPERS	RESIDENTS
Hotel	\$ 65	N/A	N/A
Restaurant	40	\$8	\$3
Convenience Stores	5	3	1
Shops	10	5	2
Miscellaneous, Other	5	2	1
TOTAL	\$125	\$18	\$8

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy

TABLE 9 TOTAL OFF-SITE SPENDING BY ATTENDEES OF EVENTS AT GATEWAY CENTER TYPICAL YEAR OF OPERATION

Category	Overnight Attendees	Day Trippers	Residents	Total
Hotel	\$1,205,100	N/A	N/A	\$1,205,100
Restaurant	741,600	\$428,500	\$ 741,600	1,911,700
Convenience Stores	92,700	160,700	185,400	438,800
Shops	185,400	267,800	556,200	1,009,400
Miscellaneous, Other	92,700	107,100	185,400	385,200
TOTAL	\$2,317,500	\$964,100	\$1,168,600	\$4,950,200

Source: The Sherwood Consultancy